Response ID ANON-9VXY-U5W8-S

Submitted to **Tompkins Park Wave Park Project**Submitted on **2018-01-04 17:17:34**

Introduction

1 What is your name?

Name:

2 What is your email address?

Email:

3 What is your organisation?

Organisation:

Urban Bushland Council WA Inc.

4 What is your postal address?

Postal address:

PO Box 326 West Perth 6872

5 Preferred option for decision by the EPA

Assess - Public environmental review

6 What are the reasons for your preferred option for decision of the EPA?

Reasons:

- *The site is immediately adjacent to the Swan Estuary Marine Park and Alfred Cove A-Class Nature Reserve
- *Alfred Cove, together with Milyu and Pelican Point provides an important feeding habitat for transequatorial migratory wading birds protected under agreements with South Korea, Japan and China. The sand flats, mud flats and beaches provide the only remaining feeding and resting areas in the Swan River. These birds are under increasing pressure from destruction of their habitat in their fly zone in the northern hemisphere. To have flown thousands of kms in some cases only to find their habitat destroyed is really terrible and has resulted in the numbers of many species declining, possibly to extinction.
- * Bush Forever site 331 is part of the proposed site, lying from point Walter to Applecross. The protection, management and improvement of Bush Forever areas must be a fundamental consideration in planning processes.
- *Vegetated wetland impacted by the proposal is of regional and international significance
- *Saltmarsh value: Alfred Cove retains the only relatively natural and extensive estuarine wetland salt marsh on the lower Swan River Estuary. This is a very special natural value
- *The coastal salt-marsh has been listed federally as a TEC
- *The floristic vegetation type is the Vasse Vegetation Complex, of which less that 1% remains in the region
- *Alfred Cove is considered to be one of the most important waterbird habitats in the south-west
- *We understand that the proposal would have water sourced from ground water which is unacceptable.
- *The community does not support the proposal. By putting the assessment as a PER, the public has the chance to comment. The environmental risks are too high and a PER would allow investigation to assist the EPA in its decision.

This is the wrong place for a wave park

By checking this box you are consenting to your comments being used to inform the EPA's decision on whether to assess this proposal and, if so, the level of assessment, and understand that your comments and / or any part of your submission may be made public. If you wish your comments and / or any part of your submission (i.e. name and contact details) to be treated as confidential, please make a specific request in your submission. It is important to note that a request for confidentiality does not make your submission automatically exempt from disclosure. While the department will use its best endeavours to comply with your request, your submission (or part thereof) may still be disclosed if required under the Freedom of Information Act 1992, for procedural fairness purposes or under any other applicable law.:

Yes

