

Environmental Protection Authority

Form for the referral of a proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Referrer information						
		☐ Proponent				
Who is referring this proposal?		☐ Decision-mak	ing aut	hority		
		☐ Community m	nembei	r/third pa	irty Yes	
Name		Signature				
Position		Organisation		Urban Bเ	ushland Cou	uncil WA Inc.
Email	ubc@bushlandperth.org.a	<u>au</u>				
Address	PO Box 326	Street Name				
	West Perth				WA	6872
Date						
Does the referrer request that the EPA treat any part of the proposal information in the referral as confidential? Provide confidential information in a separate attachment.				□ No		
Referral declaration for organisations, proponents and decision-making authorities: I declare that I am authorised to refer this proposal on behalf ofThe Urban Bushland Council WA Inc. and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading to the best of my knowledge and understanding.						
Part A: Pro	Part A: Proponent and proposal description					
Proponent information						
Name of the proponent/s (including Trading Name if relevant)		City of	f Albany			
Australian Co	mpany Number(s)					
	siness Number(s)					
Contact for th	ne proposal (if different from t	the referrer)	□ Yes	5		No
Please include	e: name, physical address, pho	one, and email.				

Does the proponent have the legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?	□ Yes □
If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.	The area is Crown reserve, managed by the City of Albany
If no , what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?	
Proposal type	
What type of proposal is being referred? For a change to an approved proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number/s (MS No./s) of the approved proposal For a derived proposal please state the Ministerial Statement number (MS No.) of the associated strategic proposal	□ significant – new proposal YES □ significant – change to approved proposal (MS No./s:) □ proposal under an assessed planning scheme □ strategic □ derived (Strategic MS No.:)
For a significant proposal:	The proposal will have very significant
Why do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA?	impacts on the environment. The impact of the inevitable spread of Dieback through the highly valued and iconic A class reserves is likely to be catastrophic. This must be stopped by the EPA.
For a proposal under an assessed planning scheme, provide the following details:	
Scheme name and number	
For the Responsible Authority:	
 What new environmental issues are raised by the proposal that were not assessed during the assessment of the planning scheme? 	
 How does the proposal not comply with the assessed scheme and/or the environmental conditions in the assessed planning scheme? 	
Proposal description	
Title of the proposal	Mountain Bike proposal in Mt Clarence and Mt Adelaide reserves. Master Plan by City of Albany, and Stage 1 (of 3 proposed Stages).
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	City of Albany
Location:	
 a) street address, lot number, suburb, and nearest road intersection; or 	
b) if remote the nearest town and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	
Proposal description – including the key characteristics of the proposal	Dual use of existing walk tracks to include Mountain Bike use
Provide as an attachment to the form	 Stage 1 for clearing and construction of a new Mountain Bike trail which will divide the site into 2 halves.

			3. (?draft) Master Plan by City of Albany
			for Mt Clarence and Mt Adelaide
			reserves, 30 June 2019.
-	ou provided electronic spatial data, maps and fig	gure 🗆	□ No
•	ppropriate format?		
кејег то	instructions at the front of the form		
	the current land use on the property, and the	Mt par	Clarence 114ha is 'A' class reserve, public
extent (area in hectares) of the property?	'	Adelaide 84.7ha is 'A' class reserve
		rec	reation and parklands.
		Mt	Clarence 'C' class reserve.
Have yo	ou had pre-referral discussions with the EPA at		
	ervices? If so, quote the reference number and	l/or	
the DWI	ER contact.		
Part B	: Environmental impacts		
Environ	mental factors		
What ar	re the likely significant environmental	☐ Benthic	Communities and Habitat
factors f	for this proposal?	☐ Coastal	Processes
		☐ Marine	Environmental Quality
		☐ Marine	·
		_	d Vegetation yes
		☐ Landfor	
			anean Fauna
			ial Environmental Quality yes
			ial Fauna yes
		☐ Inland V	
		☐ Air Qual	
			urroundings yes
			Health yes
	ach of the environmental factors identified abov nation in a supplementary report	ve, complet	e the following table, or provide the
Potentia	al environmental impacts		
1	EPA Factor		Flora and vegetation
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you con and how have you applied them in relation to factor?		
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consurelation to the potential environmental impac		

4	Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	Both Mt Clarence 'A' class reserve (~114ha) and Mt Adelaide 'A' class reserve (~84.7ha) are in very good condition. These species and vegetation rich sites include some 17 vegetation communities on various landforms.
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	 Stage 1 clearing and construction of a new Mountain Bike trail will cut the reserve into 2 halves. The trail is proposed through vegetation which is dense habitat for the <u>critically endangered Ringtail Possum</u>. Dual use of existing walk paths is proposed to include the active
		 recreation use by Mountain Bikers. Uncontrolled Mountain Biker access into bushland off the tracks will cause bush bashing and ground disturbance and degradation of vegetation and fauna habitat.
		4. DISEASE RISK : Montain Bikes will inevitably spread Phytopthora Dieback disease through the reserves which would likely have catastrophic impacts on the sensitive vegetation.
		5. Marri are present and they would be subject to increased spread of Marri Canker resulting from soil disturbance and potential for spread by Mountain Bikes. Marri are very significant forage habitat for the 3 species of Black Cockatoos.
6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	The precautionary principle must be applied to prevent the spread of dieback which would have catastrophic residual impacts on the flora, vegetation, fauna and social values of the site.
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	

Potenti	Potential environmental impacts			
1	EPA Factor	Terrestrial environmental quality		
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	The Precautionary Principle applies. The risk of a very significant and indeed catastrophic impact from Dieback infestation should be considered and must be avoided.		
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts			
4	Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	Phytophthora is already present in the area so the risk of its spread by Mountain Bikes is a reality. Much of the species rich vegetation is therefore at risk of serious degradation.		
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	The Mountain Bikes on existing tracks and on new trails, and by off-track use through the bushland. Erosion caused by this use is likely to increase and with rain will increase the spread of Dieback and other soil-born diseases especially in this environment which is not flat. Clearing for the Stage 1 new Trail will increase soil disturbance and spread of Dieback. There will also be vegetation loss.		
6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.			
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	There will be significant degradation and loss of the environmental quality of the reserves. Loss of foraging habitat for Carnaby's Cockatoo is inconsistent with the Recovery Plan and therefore should not be permitted as it is environmentally unacceptable.		
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Dieback hygiene measures cannot totally remove the likely risk of spread of Dieback. Dieback spread by the many bikes cannot be totally prevented and therefore the Precautionary principle must apply.		

Potent	ial environmental impacts	
1	EPA Factor	Terrestrial fauna
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	EPA Technical Report: Carnaby's Cockatoo in EIA in the Perth and Peel Region. Advice of the EPA under section 16(j) of the EP Act 1986. (May 2019) The first Recovery Plan action is to 'Protect and manage important habitat'. Loss of habitat due to spread of Dieback and Marri Canker is likely to be highly significant.
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts	
4	Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	The sites are significant habitat for the following endangered species of fauna: 1. Western Ringtail Possum – CE. A relatively high population is present. 2. Honey possums 3. Quenda 4. Carnaby's Cockatoo 5. Baudins Cockatoo 6. Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	Clearing and vegetation disturbance for the Stage 1 new Trail will result in a net loss and ongoing disturbance of the above fauna habitats. Also disturbance of Possums by many fast moving Mountain Bikers is likely.
6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	

Potenti	Potential environmental impacts		
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	The spread of Dieback and Marri Canker will likely very significantly impact the area with significant residual impacts to the above fauna habitats. Death of Marri, Jarrah and other proteaceae species will result in a very significant loss of habitat especially for the 3 species of Black Cockatoos.	
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	As stated above, mitigation measures to help prevent spread of Dieback cannot guarantee total prevention of its spread and thus very significant impacts over time.	

Potenti	Potential environmental impacts		
1	EPA Factor	Social surroundings	
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?	The entire area is listed under the Heritage Act.	
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts	We are not aware of any consultation with Aboriginal elders of the area, nor of visitor services, nor of DBCA.	
4	Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	All of Mt Clarence and Mt Adelaide 'A' and 'C' class reserves are listed under the Heritage Protection Act.	
		The sites have outstanding and unique social heritage values for: 1. Aboriginal people and, 2. for World War 1, and 3. For enjoyment of natural landscape and bushland. Mt Clarence is home to the Albany Heritage Park, National Anzac Centre, The Mount Clarence Anzac Memorial, and Padre White Lookout. These sites attract many visitors and tourists on an interactive journey to view the harbour, its natural surrounds, and reflect on events in 1914 when 41,000 Australians and New Zealanders left by ship to fight in World War 1. There are walk paths and lookouts in a natural setting which attracts much passive recreation and enjoyment.	

Potenti	Potential environmental impacts		
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	The current highly cherished social value and passive use as above would be seriously disrupted and disturbed by active Mountain Bike racing and use. It would initiate uncontrolled access by Mountain Bikers. The site is not designed for, nor suitable for, dual use paths. The two uses are contradictory and incompatible. The impact on the social heritage values and use is socially disrespectful and unacceptable. The same disrespect applies to the indigenous heritage values of the landmark site by Mountain Bike use.	
6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	Whilst signage may be used as management measures to restrict access by Mountain Bikers to identified trails, it will not prevent off-track use with racing and disturbance to soils, vegetation and wildlife. Indeed some Bikers race at night.	

Potenti	Potential environmental impacts			
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	The current use as a very special visitor site for passive social history recognition and appreciation of nature at this spectacular mountain site will be disturbed and undermined by Mountain Bikers who charge through the landscape as a race track. These two uses are contradictory and incompatible. The impact on the social heritage values and use is socially disrespectful, disturbing and unacceptable. The same disrespect applies to the indigenous heritage values of the landmark site by Mountain Bike use. The Aboriginal heritage listed sites are at risk of disturbance. This is unacceptable.		
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	So-called mitigation measures and regulations to restrict Mountain Bike use to certain tracks at certain times cannot be guaranteed to be enforced. The demonstration trail permitted 2 years ago showed this. Such measures, even if effective, does not justify permission for such a contradictory and incompatible and disturbing use.		

Potenti	Potential environmental impacts		
1	EPA Factor	Human health	
2	EPA policy and guidance - What have you considered and how have you applied them in relation to this factor?		
3	Consultation – Outline the outcomes of consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts		
4	Receiving environment – Describe the current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this factor.	Mt Clarence and Mt Adelaide A class reserves are outstanding for their existing passive uses (described above) for appreciation and enjoyment of the landscape, and its natural and social and aboriginal heritage values. These are significant human health benefits. People need and benefit contact with nature.	

Potenti	ial environmental impacts	
5	Proposal activities – Describe the proposal activities that have the potential to impact the environment	Introduction of Mountain Bike use on existing walk tracks as dual use will affect human health benefits and risk their safety by potential for collisions. Presence of Mountain Bikers in other areas of the reserves will threaten safety of people visiting. This is a human health impact.
6	Mitigation – Describe the measures proposed to manage and mitigate the potential environmental impacts.	
7	Impacts – Assess the impacts of the proposal and review the residual impacts against the EPA objective.	Disruption of visitor experiences will disturb, disrupt and lessen the human health benefits. Site seeing and walking visitors will be at risk of collision with Mountain Bikers and thus human health risks of injury.
		People will be unwilling or less willing to walk regularly or visit such a site and this is likely to be a significant loss of human health benefits in visiting nature in these 2 iconic natural landscape sites.
8	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.	Regulated Mountain Bike use cannot be guaranteed to be effective in adequately stopping risks to human health and safety.

Part C: Other approvals and regulation						
State and Local Government approvals						
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.				□ No		
If this proposal has been referred by a decision-making authority, what approval(s) are required from you?						
Please identify other approvals required for the proposal:						
Proposal activities	Land tenure/access	Type of approva	al	Legislation regulating the		
e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging	e.g. Crown land, Mining lease, specify legislation for access if relevant	e.g. Native Vegetation Clearing Permit, licence, mining proposal,		e.g. EP Act 1986 – Part V, RiWI Act 1914, Mining Act 1979		
Clearing	Access to the 'A' class reserves					

Part C: Other approvals and regulation						
State and Local Government approvals						
Commonwealth Government approvals						
Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	□ Yes □					
Has the proposed action been referred? If yes, when was it	☐ Yes ☐ No					
referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?	Date:					
	EPBC No.: 2019/8480					
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the appropriate box	□ Yes □					
and provide the decision in an attachment.	☐ Decision – controlled action Yes					
	☐ Decision —					
If the proposal is determined to be a controlled action, do you	☐ Yes - Bilateral ☐ No					
request that this proposal be assessed under the bilateral agreement or as an accredited assessment?	☐ Yes - Accredited					
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal?	☐ Yes ☐ No					
If yes, describe.	Approval:					